1		The Honorable Richard A. Jones	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
9			
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:20-cr-00079-RAJ	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL	
12	V.	DATE AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS	
13	IODI HAMDICV	DEADLINE	
14	JODI HAMRICK,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17			
18	THIS COLIRT having considered the	defense's unappased motion to continue the	
19	THIS COURT, having considered the defense's unopposed motion to continue the		
20			
21	therein, which are hereby incorporated as findings of fact, finds that:		
22	(a) taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a		
23	continuance would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for		
24			
25	possible defenses, and gather evidence material to the defense, as set for in 10 c.s.c. §		
26	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv);		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>			
20	ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE  AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE - 1  United States v. Hamrick, 2:20-cr-00079-RAJ		

- (b) a failure to grant a continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i);
- (c) the additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay, as the defendant has requested more time to prepare for trial, to investigate the matter, to gather evidence material to the defense, and to consider possible defenses;
- (d) the case is sufficiently complex that is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the current trial schedule, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii);
- (e) the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and the ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in any speedier trial, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A); and
- (f) the additional time requested between the current trial date of October 17, 2022 and the new trial date is necessary to provide counsel for the defendant reasonable time to prepare for potential pretrial motions and the trial, considering all of the facts set forth above.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, for the reasons stated herein and in the unopposed defense motion, the motion (Dkt. 124) is GRANTED. The trial date is continued to January 30, 2023. All pretrial motions, including motions in limine, shall be filed no later than December 15, 2022.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of time from the date of this order up to and including the new trial date of January 30, 2023, shall be excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

DATED this 11th day of August, 2022.

The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge

Richard A frace